

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CU-1516RJS 08/820,608 03/19/97 SUNAGA **EXAMINER** LM02/0811 JÖHN J CHRYSTAL VANDERPUYE, K LADAS AND PARRY 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2732 CHICAGO IL 60604

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

DATE MAILED 08/11/98

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	08/020608 SUNAGA Examiner Group Art Unit Ken Veinderpuge 2732				
	Examiner	,	,	Group Art Unit	
	Ken	Veino	erpuye	2732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cov	er sheet b	eneath the c	orrespondence addre	ess
Period for Response					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS S MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIR	E3	MONT	TH(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, 	a response with ault, expire SIX (in the statuto 6) MONTHS	ry minimum of f	thirty (30) days will be cons ig date of this communication	idered timel
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18188 1 1 1 1				
This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193				o the merits is closed	in
Disposition of Claims					
☑ Claim(s)			is/are	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)			is/are	is/are allowed.	
\square Claim(s) 1, 4, 6-17 \square Claim(s) 2, 3, 5			is/are	_ is/are rejected.	
☑ Claim(s) 2, 3, 5			is/are	is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)			are su	are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers	•		requir	rement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTC)-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 a	pproved	□ disapprove	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the E	xaminer.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of 					
☐ received.					
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest 					
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	ernational Bure	eau (PCT F	lule 1 7.2(a))		
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest 	ernational Bure	eau (PCT F	lule 1 7.2(a))		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interesting the copies not received:	ernational Bure	eau (PCT F	lule 1 7.2(a))		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of received in this national stage application from the Interesting the copies not received: Attachment(s)	ernational Bure	eau (PCT F	tule 1 7.2(a))	·	PTO-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Page 2

Serial Number: 08/820608

Art Unit: 2603

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the previous office action is hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that "Even if the necessary element of Tanaka were somehow combined with the admitted prior art references, the resultant combination would not be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art," the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references.

Rather, the test-is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). The intermittent signal is used to establish synchronization between a base station and a mobile. The motivation is to reduce power consumption. This is adequate motivation for the intermittent transmission of a pilot signal in a CDMA environment.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Art Unit: 2603

Serial Number: 08/820608

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1, 4, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Tanaka(5,636,243).

Claim 1 is rejected because the admitted prior art teaches a CDMA mobile communication system with a pilot channel that transmits a pilot signal in spread spectrum formation and traffic channel transmit units that respectively transmit data signals while the pilot signal is transmitted(Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). What the admitted prior art does not teach is a pilot signal that is intermittently transmitted. Tanaka's invention deals with direct communications between mobile stations in which he discloses a method wherein a base station transmits an intermittent control signal, in a predetermined control channel(TDMA slot once every 100 msec, Fig. 3), to terminals located within the service area. These terminals communicate with each other by transmitting control and response signals intermittently to establish synchronization therebetween(summary of the invention, also see Fig. 12). In this way less power is expended during the establishment of synchronization. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate this same concept in the admitted prior art i.e. intermittent transmission of a pilot signal by a CDMA transmitter for the purpose of reducing power consumption.

Claim 4 is rejected because the admitted prior art teaches a CDMA receiver(Fig. 2) comprising: a pilot channel receiver unit which demodulates pilot signals in spread spectrum formation by transmitters while digital signals are sent in respective traffic channels. What the

Page 4

Serial Number: 08/820608

Art Unit: 2603

admitted prior art does not teach is the demodulation of intermittently transmitted pilot signal and the detection from the pilot signal a timing for a traffic channel demodulation. Tanaka discloses an intermittent receiving operation whereby the mobile terminal intermittently receives the control signal(Fig. 11). The reasons for combining Tanaka with the admitted prior art are obvious in light of the above rejections. The motivation being that the receiver will require the intermittent timing signal for the purpose of intermittently synchronize mobile unit in order to demodulate the traffic signal.

Claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons as claims 1 and 4 and in addition to the fact that it is well known in the art that a CDMA mobile communications system is made up of plural base stations and mobile units in order to maintain communication over a wide geographic area.(cells)

Claim 9 and 14 are rejected because the use of offsets is taught by the admitted prior art.

Claims 10-12, 15-17 are rejected because it is well known in the art that the offset time can be changed depending on the extent to which it is practicable for a base station to be distinguished itself from other base stations.

Claim 13 is rejected because the admitted prior art teaches a CDMA mobile communication method comprising steps of: transmitting pilot signals in spread spectrum formation, the admitted prior art does not teach the demodulation of intermittently transmitted pilot signals nor the detection form timing signals a timing for traffic channel demodulation. The reasons for rejecting steps (b) and © are discussed in the rejections of claims 1 and 4.

Serial Number: 08/820608 Page 5

Art Unit: 2603

3. Claim 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Tunica as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Marchetto et al(5,414,734).

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected because Marchetto teaches a receiver circuitry that uses the pilot signal to demodulate data affected by fading and interference and compensates for the undesired effects. (see Fig 3@ 96, 100, 92 and 104 also see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate this circuitry in the admitted prior art for the purpose of enabling channel response estimates to be made. The motivation would be to compensate for multi-path interference.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 2, 3, 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Serial Number: 08/820608

Art Unit: 2603

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 6

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Kenneth Vanderpuye whose telephone number is (703) 308-7828. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Doug Olms, can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)

305-9509.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Chan T. Muzeu

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Kenneth Vanderpuye

August 6, 1998